3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-201-830]

Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico: Final Results of Antidumping

Duty Administrative Review; 2019-2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that sales of carbon and certain alloy steel wire rod (wire rod) from Mexico were made at less than normal value (NV) during the period of review (POR), October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020.

DATES: Applicable [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*]. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Benjamin A. Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2181.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On November 4, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this review in the *Federal Register*.¹ We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*.

This review covers one mandatory respondent selected for individual examination, Deacero S.A.P.I de C.V. (Deacero). We received case briefs from Deacero and Nucor Corporation

-

¹ See Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Recission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020, 86 FR 60799 (November 4, 2021) (Preliminary Results), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

(Nucor, or the petitioner).² Subsequently, we received a rebuttal brief from Deacero.³ A complete summary of the events that occurred since publication of the *Preliminary Results* is found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the *Order*⁵

The merchandise subject to the *Order* is wire rod, in coils, of approximately round cross section, 5.00 mm or more, but less than 19.00 mm, in solid cross-sectional diameter.

A full description of the scope of the *Order* is contained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. The issues are identified in the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at https://access.trade.gov. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly on the internet at https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx.

² See Deacero's Letter, "Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico – Case Brief," dated December 6, 2021; see also Nucor's Letter, "Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico: Case Brief," dated December 6, 2021.

³ See Deacero's Letter, "Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico – Rebuttal Brief," dated December 13, 2021.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2019-2020 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Mexico," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Brazil, Indonesia, Mexico, Moldova, Trinidad and Tobago, and Ukraine, 67 FR 65945 (October 29, 2002) (Order).

Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*

Based on our analysis of the comments received from parties, we have made certain revisions to the margin calculation for Deacero.⁶ For detailed information, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Rate for Respondent Not Selected for Individual Examination

Commerce did not select Ternium Mexico S.A. de C.V. (Ternium) for individual examination. Further, Ternium was not the subject of a withdrawal of request for review; did not request to participate as a voluntary respondent; did not submit a claim of no shipments; and was not otherwise collapsed with a mandatory respondent. Therefore, Ternium remains a respondent not selected for individual examination. As explained in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, we have assigned to Ternium the weighted-average dumping margin calculated for Deacero.

Final Results of the Review

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period October 1, 2019, through September 30, 2020:

Producers/Exporters	Weighted-Average Dumping Margins
	(percent)
Deacero S.A.P.I de C.V.	4.64
Ternium Mexico S.A. de C.V.	4.64

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed to parties in this proceeding within five days after publication of these final results in the *Federal Register*, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce will determine, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

⁶ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

For Deacero, Commerce has calculated importer-specific antidumping duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales of each importer and dividing each of these amounts by the total entered value associated with those sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1). Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct CBP to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties any entries for which the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*. For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by Deacero for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For the companies not selected for individual examination, we will instruct CBP to apply an assessment rate to all entries produced and/or exported by those companies equal to the dumping margin indicated above. Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP 41 days after the date of publication of these final results of review.⁷

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2) of the Act: (1) for producers or exporters covered in this administrative review, the cash deposit rates will be the rates established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for producers or exporters not covered in this administrative review but covered in a prior segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original investigation, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other

⁷ See 19 CFR 351.356.8(a).

producers or exporters will continue to be 20.11 percent, the all-others rate established in the investigation.⁸ These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.213(h).

Dated: March 3, 2022.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

⁸ See Order, 67 FR at 65947.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Final Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. Margin for Company Not Selected for Individual Examination
- V. Changes Since the *Preliminary Results*
- VI. Discussion of Comments
 - Comment 1: Whether Commerce Made a Ministerial Error Related to Currency Conversion
 - Comment 2: Whether Commerce Should Exclude Insurance Revenue from the Calculation of Deacero's Home Market Credit Expenses
 - Comment 3: Whether Deacero Failed to Report Inland Freight Expenses for Some U.S. Sales.
- VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022-05069 Filed: 3/9/2022 8:45 am; Publication Date: 3/10/2022]